Software Reengineering CS 789
Intermediate Report Evaluation -- Group X

- Delivery: [ ] On-time [ ] Late: X days
- Use at least one tool/script that is related to each lab session:
  - o [ ] Metrics and Visualisation: CodeScene
  - o [ ] Refactoring Assistants: CodeScene, SonarQube, RefactoringMiner
  - o [ ] Test Coverage: IntelliJ Coverage, JaCoCo, e.t.c
  - o [ ] Software Integration: GACPD, RePatch,
  - o [ ] Mining Repositories: GitHub API, GraphQL, etc.
- Screenshots of tool reports available for the relevant ones of the above
  - o [ ] Yes
  - o [ ] Some are missing:
- Reflection on the effectiveness of the tools for the current reengineering project
  - o [ ] Yes
  - o [ ] Reflection is missing for:
- (Optional) Reference to extra tools not covered in the lab:
- Evidence of using tools to compare **before / after / during** reengineering
  - o [ ] No
  - o [ ] Mentioned but not systematic (coverage/metrics/visualization missing)
  - o [ ] Explicitly demonstrated (coverage/metrics/visualization reported at each stage)
- UML class diagrams to explain planned structural changes/refactoring:
  - o [ ] No
  - o [ ] Partial / unclear
- Report Quality
  - o Structure: [ ] Good [ ] Needs improvemen
  - o Layout: [ ] Good [ ] Needs improvement
  - o Spelling & Grammar: [ ] Acceptable [ ] Needs improvement
  - o Actionable: [ ] Yes [ ] No
- Reference to exact URL (GitHub project) of reengineering project available
  - o [ ] Yes:
  - o [ ] Not yet:
- A sound basis for the remainder of the project
  - o [ ] References to reengineering patterns:
  - o [ ] Ad-hoc vs. Systematic approach identified (team coordination plan in place)
  - o [ ] Timeline / milestones updated
  - o [ ] uccess criteria ("definition of done") stated clearly